Determining Engagement in Responsible Tourism Decision Making Amongst the Baby Boomer Generation

Peter Robinson
Carnegie School of Sport
Leeds Beckett University, UK
ORCiD: http://orchid.org/0000-0001-7690-4717

Christina Crevenna
Leeds Beckett University, UK

Abstract: With their leisure time and disposable income, baby boomers are frequent travellers, but their engagement with Responsible Tourism often lacks consistency. This study explores their motivations, preferences, and barriers, identifying age-related differences within the cohort.

While Baby Boomers express environmental concerns, their travel decisions rarely prioritise sustainability. A key finding is the value-action gap, driven by scepticism about responsible travel options and limited trust in tour operators' eco-friendly practices. Clearer communication and transparent offerings could address this.

The study reveals a preference for new and familiar destinations, with repeat visits fostering deeper connections and behavioural shifts. However, sustainability is more often deprioritised by older Baby Boomers, who also view luxury travel as incompatible with Responsible Tourism. Younger Baby Boomers, by contrast, show greater openness to eco-friendly luxury services.

This research highlights the importance of segmenting Baby Boomers by age when designing Responsible Tourism initiatives. It recommends improving transparency, offering alternative transport options, and creating experiences aligned with Baby Boomers' values. Emphasising tangible environmental and social benefits could encourage more responsible travel behaviour within this demographic.

Keywords: Responsible Tourism, Responsible tourism behavior, Baby Boomer, Tourism decision making, Intragenerational differences

Introduction

In today's service-driven society, tourism significantly impacts global GDP (around 9.1%) and employment (8.2%) as of 2023, approaching pre-pandemic levels (Statista Research Development, 2024; ILOSTAT, 2024). Tourism offers economic benefits and potential drawbacks for destinations, with COVID-19 amplifying scrutiny on its unchecked growth and revealing the social and environmental costs (UNWTO, 2008; Hu and Sung, 2022; Li, 2023). As a result, interest in Responsible Tourism (RT) has surged, emphasising the need for sustainable practices to protect environments, communities, and resources in the long term (Kim et al., 2018; Prasongthan, 2023; Kubíková and Rudý, 2024).

RT incorporates social and environmental dimensions beyond economic factors, aligning with Sustainable Development Goals 8, 12, and 14 (Dias et al., 2021; Mondal and Samaddar, 2021; Pinho and Gomes, 2023; Viglia and Acuti, 2023; ILOSTAT, 2024). However, implementation is hampered by overlapping terminologies and limited demand-side research (Lee et al., 2017; Mihalic et al., 2021; Baloch et al., 2022; Hu and Sung, 2022; Salinero et al., 2022; Prasongthan, 2023; Kubíková and Rudý, 2024). Consequently, the role of tourists as responsible participants remains underexplored, while the gap between responsible awareness or intention and action is yet to be fully addressed, restricting broader adoption of responsible practices (Budeanu, 2007; Gonda and Ratz, 2023; Nieto-García et al., 2024).

Consumer behaviour has transformed as demographic shifts, particularly in developed countries like the UK, where the senior population increasingly influences tourism (WTO, 2013; Centre for Ageing Better, 2021; Otoo and Kim, 2020). The Baby Boomer generation is expected to outpace younger cohorts in leisure tourism, with higher disposable incomes and flexible schedules (Patterson and Pegg, 2009; Stončikaitė, 2022; Balderas-Cejudo and Patterson, 2023). As a healthier, wealthier, and more educated cohort, Baby Boomers are well-suited to drive sustainable practices in tourism, considering their preference for off-peak travel and extended stays, which reduces destination strain (Cleaver et al., 2000; Alén et al., 2016; Špindler, 2018). Yet, they remain underrepresented in research, often focusing on younger travellers (Santos et al., 2016). Since the characteristics of each generation shape tourism engagement, generational analysis is crucial for understanding travel attitudes and enabling practitioners to adapt swiftly (Li, 2023; Tiago et al., 2016; Špindler, 2018).

The aim of this research is, therefore, to explore how the Baby Boomer cohort engages in RT during their travel decision-making processes. Investigating their level of engagement, motivations and perceived barriers will not only address a gap in the literature but also offer practical insights for tourism operators and policy makers striving seeking effective strategies for this demographic. This study contributes to a more inclusive understanding of RT by acknowledging the role of older travellers and promoting ad-hoc strategies.

Literature Review:

Consumer behaviour is a complex, multidimensional concept studied across various disciplines since the early twentieth century (Juvan et al., 2017; Reina Paz and Rodríguez Vargas, 2023; Sethna, 2023; Kotler et al., 2024). Kotler and Keller (2016, p. 179) define it as "how individuals, groups, and organisations select, buy, use, and dispose of goods, services, ideas, or experiences to satisfy their needs and wants", emphasising consumer desires. Alternative definitions, such as those by Bennett (1995) and Peter and Olson (2005), emphasize the dynamic, interactive nature of consumer behaviour, which involves complex exchanges of thoughts, feelings, and decisions.

In tourism, investigating consumer behaviour presents unique challenges due to limited empirical research, reliance on outdated data, and a lack of longitudinal studies across geographic and cultural contexts (Horner and Swarbrooke, 2016). While tourism-specific models, like those developed by Wahab et al. (1976), Mathieson and Wall (1982), and Gilbert (1991), provide a basis for understanding decision-making, these models often prove overly linear and lack testing against real consumer behaviours, underscoring the need for further research. Internal and external influences further complicate efforts to manage consumer motivators, and many of these influences remain poorly understood (Kotler et al., 2024).

In the current era of the "changing traveller" (Deloitte, 2024, p. 3), understanding the diversification of consumer groups and what drives their behaviour is critical (Deloitte, 2020; Sethna, 2023). Trust in businesses remains low, and demographic variables such as race, health, and education create unique consumer needs (Deloitte, 2020). This nuanced understanding is essential for effective tourism marketing, as companies adapt their distribution methods and tailor experiences to meet diverse consumer expectations (Moschis and Ünal, 2008; Otoo et al., 2020).

Responsible Tourism

Since the 1980s, RT has emerged as an alternative to mass tourism, reflecting a shift towards sustainable development in academia, the tourism industry, and society (Spenceley, 2008; Caruana et al., 2014; Weeden and Boluk, 2014; Kim et al., 2018; Li, 2023; Prasongthan, 2023). Goodwin (2016) defines it as a collective and individual responsibility to create better environments for both residents and visitors. However, the vagueness of this well-known definition has led researchers to explore its overlap with concepts such as sustainable tourism and ecotourism (Bramwell et al., 2008; Mondal and Samaddar, 2021; Baloch et al., 2022). Despite its appeal, some critiques suggest RT's effectiveness may be limited within capitalist frameworks (Hall, 2014; Weeden, 2014; Butler, 2015; Mondal and Samaddar, 2021).

The Cape Town (2002) and Kerala (2008) Declarations have advanced theoretical and practical understanding, emphasising sustainability, localism, and human rights while warning against oversimplification (Burrai et al., 2019). Nevertheless, ongoing ambiguity persists in its definition, and its implementation may be hindered by stakeholder confusion and lack of awareness (Van der Merwe and Wocke, 2007).

To promote RT, multiple stakeholders must collaborate to maximise benefits and minimise harm to natural and social resources (Cape Town Declaration, 2002; Bramwell et al., 2008; Burrai et al., 2019; Dias et al., 2021; Mondal and Samaddar, 2021; Hu and Sung, 2022; Gonda and Rátz, 2023; Prasongthan, 2023). While stakeholders play a role, individual tourist behaviour is crucial yet often overlooked (Lee et al., 2017; Saarinen, 2021; Schönherr, 2023). Understanding tourists' attitudes and behaviours toward RT is key to fostering

sustainability (Caruana et al., 2014; Mody et al., 2014; Mihalic, 2016; Gao et al., 2017; Gonda and Rátz, 2023; Prasongthan, 2023).

Responsible Tourist Behaviour

Interest in Responsible Tourist Behaviour (RTB) is growing, especially post-pandemic, driven by factors like risk aversion, environmental awareness, and cultural values (Gonda and Rátz, 2023). RTB addresses tourism's negative impacts through actions that support environmental protection, respect for local communities, and destination resilience (Kim et al., 2018; Hu and Sung, 2022). Balancing destination enjoyment with fair reciprocity exemplifies tourist citizenship behaviour, integrating environmental, social, and economic concerns (Stanford, 2008; Caruana et al., 2014; Mihalic, 2016; Calderon-Monge et al., 2020).

Despite considerable research, RTB remains poorly defined due to a lack of consensus, the complexity of destination-specific issues, and an overemphasis on its environmental dimension, with limited research addressing the socio-cultural and economic spheres (Xu et al., 2018; Calderon-Monge et al., 2020; Dias et al., 2021; Prayag et al., 2022; Qiu et al., 2022; Schönherr, 2023). Additional challenges stem from demand-side perspectives, including insufficient stakeholder participation and low RT awareness (Caruana et al., 2014), thereby limiting a holistic understanding of RTB.

There has been relatively limited exploration of the gaps between attitudes, intentions, and actions in RT, which hinders consumption (Budeanu, 2007; Nikolic et al., 2021; Thimm, 2022). Despite tourists' growing awareness of sustainability, translating beliefs and willingness into actions is challenging due to practical concerns like convenience and time (Weeden, 2014; Higham et al., 2015; Juvan et al., 2017; Li, 2023; Wu et al., 2023). Consequently, spending on recent travel reveals a significant value-action gap, particularly in the UK, where a 44% disparity was found between willingness to pay for sustainable options and actual behaviour (Statista Consumer Insights Global, 2022). Understanding these drivers is essential for tailoring services and supporting post-pandemic sustainable tourism recovery (Hu and Sung, 2022; Gonda and Ratz, 2023; Viglia and Acuti, 2023).

Motivation remains the primary driver in RTB studies, although the interdisciplinary complexity of travel behaviour resists a single explanatory framework (Crompton, 1979; Fodness, 1994; Weeden, 2014). Several factors influence tourists' decisions, including escapism, cost considerations, and alignment with environmental values (Schmidt, 2001; Goodwin and Francis, 2003). These factors clarify destination choices, segmentation, and satisfaction (Carr, 2002; Lam and Hsu, 2006). Moreover, personal values and lifestyle factors are key in travel choices (Pitts and Woodside, 1986; Muller, 1991). Place attachment and destination involvement also drive RTB (Cheng et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2018; Hu and Sung, 2022), as engagement with a destination influences

cultural identity, personal traits, and actions (Caruana et al., 2014; Santos et al., 2014; Al-Gharibah and Mahfod, 2022).

Tourism, however, remains a personal choice, making human behaviour complex to predict (Weeden, 2014). Some argue that responsible tourists often show conscientious behaviour in daily life (Sambri and Pegan, 2007; Dolnicar et al., 2008), but empirical support is limited. Many prioritise escaping daily challenges over addressing ethical concerns or are unaware of their vacations' environmental impacts, illustrating the difficulty in fostering ethical travel choices (Weeden, 2014; Selänniemi, 2000; Stončikaitė, 2022).

A final limitation in RTB research is the insufficient adaptation of models across generations, despite evidence that generational differences in environmental values influence RTB (Dias et al., 2021; Qiu et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2023). Most treat tourists as a homogeneous group, overlooking these differences (Salinero et al., 2022). While age-related consumer behaviour is well-studied (Lipowski, 2017; Li, 2023), such variations are underexplored in tourism, particularly in RTB (Gonda and Ratz, 2023). Salinero et al. (2022) presented a model focused on Gen Z (born 1995–2009), but other generations, including older cohorts, remain under-researched.

Baby Boomers' travel behaviour

The ageing population represents one of the most significant social changes of the 21st century: by 2050, the global number of people aged 60 and over is projected to double, reaching 2 billion (United Nations, 2015). In the UK, Baby Boomers constituted 20% of the population in 2022, with life expectancy increasing between 1999 and 2019 (Deloitte, 2020; Statista, 2021; Statista, 2024a). This demographic shift is reshaping the tourism sector, with senior tourism emerging as a dynamic and expanding market in which the well-being of older travellers is increasingly prioritised (Kim et al., 2015; Sie et al., 2016; Patterson and Balderas, 2018; Otoo et al., 2020; Stončikaitė, 2022). Nevertheless, Baby Boomers' importance as a key segment has only recently been recognised, resulting in limited research (Patterson et al., 2017).

Baby Boomers are defined as those born between 1946 and 1964, reflecting the post-World War II population surge (Sie et al., 2016; Patterson et al., 2017; Sethna, 2023; Kotler et al., 2024). In tourism research, terms like 'senior,' 'grey,' 'silver,' and 'mature' are often used interchangeably, causing ambiguity about Baby Boomers inclusion (Shoemaker, 2000; Carrigan et al., 2004; Gillon, 2004; Moschis et al., 2004; Reece, 2004; Wang et al., 2007; Tiago et al., 2016).

They are targeted by marketers for their health, financial stability, and desire for novelty and authentic experiences, which also shape their travel preferences (Jang and Wu, 2006; Patterson and Pegg, 2009; Meiners and Seeberger, 2010; United Nations, 2015; Stončikaitė, 2022). They seek personalised experiences that cater to social, cultural, and self-improvement needs, with many preferring diverse, exotic locations over revisiting previous destinations (Harper, 2006;

Patterson and Pegg, 2011; Alén et al., 2016; Sie et al., 2016; Patterson et al., 2017; Balderas-Cejudo and Patterson, 2023; Stončikaitė, 2022).

Baby Boomers value luxury experiences and prioritise comfort and quality – the reason they are often termed 'comfort-seeking explorers' (Faithfull, 2023). They account for 80% of global spending in this sector (Ma, 2023) and typically spend more on travel than other generations, making them a lucrative target for many companies (Stončikaitė, 2022; Balderas-Cejudo and Patterson, 2023; Kotler et al., 2024). As the cost-of-living crisis eases, the affluent ageing population is expected to drive holiday market growth (Mintel, 2024a). Many travel companies still lack a deep understanding of this diverse segment (Patterson and Balderas, 2018).

Despite existing research identifying Baby Boomers' travel motivations (Sie et al., 2016), gaps remain in understanding how their evolving needs influence their behaviours (Blackwell et al., 2007; Hosany et al., 2019; Otoo et al., 2020). Ahn and Janke (2011) observed significant variations in intrinsic motivations based on gender, educational attainment, perceived health, and programme activity levels, highlighting the intricate interactions between socio-demographic factors and travel motivations. However, there is no consensus on the best theory to explain the psychological factors influencing tourists' travel decisions, making the relationship between motivations and behaviours in senior travellers complex (Otoo et al., 2020).

The segment is often inaccurately seen as homogeneous, with studies comparing them to other generations rather than focusing solely on their distinct profiles, preferences, and behaviours, neglecting intragenerational differences (Tiago et al., 2016; Santos et al., 2016; Qiu et al., 2022; Gonda and Ratz, 2023). Understanding Baby Boomers' distinct characteristics, shaped by their unique historical and socio-cultural contexts, is essential (Kenebayeva, 2020).

In terms of RT, the tendency to travel in low seasons aligns with efforts to address seasonality (Tiago et al., 2016; Otoo and Kim, 2020; Patterson and Balderas, 2018). However, many in the UK do not prioritise sustainability when booking holidays (Mintel, 2024a), with older luxury travellers often prioritising premium services over sustainable options (Ma, 2023; Mintel, 2024b). Lack of awareness and scepticism around sustainability claims presents another barrier, with 80% expressing doubt about travel companies' sustainability efforts (Mintel, 2024b). As they tend to require more time and detailed information when making travel decisions, encouraging Responsible Tourism among this segment remains to be fully explored (Jang and Wu, 2006; Expedia Media Solutions, 2017; Juvan et al., 2017; Patterson and Balderas, 2018; Otoo and Kim, 2020).

Methods

A mixed-method approach was adopted, integrating both qualitative and quantitative methods. By focusing on participants' shared experiences,

qualitative methods offer valuable insights into the under-researched RT behaviour of Baby Boomers (Kieżel et al., 2019).

Data were collected using a self-completed questionnaire, accessible online between August and September 2024. This approach was chosen for speed and cost efficiency, allowing respondents to complete questions independently and at their own pace, potentially leading to more thoughtful answers (Clark et al., 2021). Additionally, this format increases accessibility, which is important given the target demographic (ages 60-78), some of whom may not be experiencing optimal ageing. Inclusion criteria required participants to be individuals residing in the UK for most of their lives, born between 1946 and 1964, with an interest or experience in RT.

The design was guided by the research objectives and trends identified in the literature and was divided into two main sections: the first focused on factual and demographic data, while the second addressing attitudes, opinions, and behaviours (Dillman et al., 2014) combining open-ended and closed-ended questions, following standard practices.

Thematic analysis was selected to examine data from the questionnaire by identifying key patterns through systematic coding processes. Given the subjective and context-dependent nature of knowledge creation, researcher subjectivity becomes an asset in the analytical process (Gough and Madill, 2012; Braun and Clarke, 2022b).

Results and discussion:

A total of 141 individuals completed the questionnaire. To explore differences in preferences, behaviours, and perspectives, respondents were placed in two subgroups: older Baby Boomers (1946–1955) and younger Baby Boomers (1956–1964) (Bell, 2013; Fleming, 2015). The younger group includes 94 participants while the older group has 42. The sample consists of more females (73.5%) than males (25.8%). In terms of income, the primary source for respondents is private pensions. Notably, 95.2% of older Baby Boomers rely on pensions, compared to 62.8% of younger Baby Boomers, reflecting differing life stages. Almost all older Baby Boomers are retired, while younger Baby Boomers are more evenly split between employment (37.3%) and retirement (62.8%).

Total household income is relatively even between lower (<£30,000) and middle (£30,000–60,000) brackets, with 71.3% of respondents in these categories. Among older Baby Boomers, 52.4% report incomes of less than £30,000, while younger Baby Boomers show more variation: 31.9% earn less than £30,000, and 38.3% fall within the £30,000–£60,000 range. This distribution likely relates to the 37.3% of younger Baby Boomers still active in the workforce. Gender differences in income are apparent, with 41% of females reporting household incomes of less than £30,000, compared to 28.6% of males.

Travel behaviour patterns

The majority of respondents (42.7%) reported travelling for leisure 2–3 times a year, followed by those who travel more than five times a year (27.2%) and those who travel 3–4 times (23.5%). Altogether, 93.4% of Baby Boomers travel at least 2–3 times annually, suggesting they represent a consistent and significant market segment for leisure travel (Kotler et al., 2024; Statista Consumer Insights Global, 2024a). Younger Baby Boomers are slightly more likely to travel 3–4 times a year (24.5%) and more than five times (29.8%) compared to older Baby Boomers, both of whom report a travel rate of 21.4%.

In terms of gender, females are more likely to fall into higher travel frequency categories, which contrasts with broader findings that 58% of frequent travellers are male (Statista Consumer Insights Global, 2024b). 30.9% of respondents indicated stable leisure travel frequency, while 46.4% travelled more and 22.8% travelled less. Younger Baby Boomers tend to travel more (51.1%) compared to older Baby Boomers, 26.2% of whom reported a decrease in travel frequency. This may suggest the differences in age, time constraints, retirement, and health are likely to be significant factors affecting travel behaviour, along with past experiences tied to life stages (United Nations, 2015). These aspects merit further investigation.

Destination choice

When selecting destinations, 47.4% of respondents prefer a mix of new places and revisiting significant locations, followed by 33.8% who exclusively seek new destinations and 18.8% who only revisit meaningful spots. Age group analysis shows similar patterns, with older respondents slightly more inclined to explore new destinations. These findings differ somewhat from previous research, which suggests Baby Boomers typically value new experiences over familiar ones (Harper, 2006; Patterson and Pegg, 2011; Stončikaitė, 2022).

Exploration is the primary motivation across all age groups (41.3%), followed by nostalgia and sentimentality (19.1%) and familiarity/comfort (18.3%). Purpose-driven travel, more prevalent among younger respondents, ranks next (15.9%). Health and age (4%), security (0.8%), and environmental or ethical concerns (0.8%) are the least cited motivations. The latter tend to favour revisiting familiar places.

Respondents motivated by curiosity and exploration prefer visiting new places (23%). Age, time and health also play significant roles: older respondents (R119) highlight that their *ability to visit is limited by age and mobility*, while younger participants (R14) feel an urgency to explore whilst they *are young enough*. These respondents tend to travel more frequently, with 55.6% travelling more than five times annually.

Those driven by nostalgia or familiarity are more likely to revisit special places or mix new and familiar destinations, partially supporting Sie et al.'s findings (2016) around nostalgia as a motivator for older travellers. Many older

respondents combine curiosity with nostalgia, as reflected by R95: *new places are stimulating, and old favourites are comforting*. Younger respondents echoed this balance, particularly focusing on exploring the UK.

Revisiting Behaviour

Revisiting special places is the least common motivation, driven by familiarity and comfort for older Baby Boomers and visiting friends and family, especially children and grandchildren overseas, for the younger group. Some respondents aim to reduce their environmental impact by limiting air travel and focusing on familiar destinations. Familiarity also provides a sense of security. R130 noted: *We revisit places because it's easier to relax knowing what the area offers, and we prefer certain accommodations.* This familiarity often leads to more responsible behaviour. For instance, R97 remarked: *We tend to drive less on subsequent visits, partly because we've already explored by car*, while R48 added: *We explore places on foot*. Connecting with locals fosters a sense of belonging, as R119 noted: *You gain a better appreciation of local people, their environment, and the challenges they face.*

Revisiting familiar destinations can foster deeper connections: engaging with locals enhances authentic experiences, mutual respect, and cultural and environmental awareness (Diallo et al., 2015). R90 described returning to Italy as *a homecoming; there's a lot of hugging, and I feel protective of the small town*. These findings align with research by Hu and Sung (2022), Cheng et al. (2013), and Xu et al. (2018), suggesting that tourists more involved with a destination are more likely to engage in RTB. Additionally, destination context (Stanford, 2008) and personality traits (Al-Gharibah and Mahfod, 2022) contribute to this.

Decision-making factors

Most respondents (85.4%) agreed personal values shape their travel choices, especially in terms of travel style (29.8%) and destination selection (25.5%). Safety, family, and environmental concerns were recurring themes. R120 valued safety due to their partner's disability, and R129 prioritised family time.

Respondents also linked their values to RT, citing environmental (8.7%), economic (5%), and local community impacts (8.7%). Many were conscious of their carbon footprint. R28 refuses to fly because *it is the most environmentally damaging thing to do*. R61 avoids crowded areas to reduce strain on local infrastructure. However, gaps between stated values and behaviours persist. R3 also noted it's almost ten years since I took a flight. However, *I hope to visit a couple of places [..] where flying is the only option,* highlighting how sustainability is likely to be viable when convenient (Weeden, 2014; Wu et al., 2023).

Respect for local communities shapes travel decisions. For instance, R102 chose *local guides and accommodations to support economic impacts*. Political

and human rights issues also deterred some respondents from visiting destinations with unrest due to misaligned values on safety and ethics.

According to the responses, the destination is the top priority for Baby Boomers, followed by cost, comfort/quality, safety, sustainability, and lastly, accessibility. Sustainability ranked only fifth out of six, which aligns with Mintel's (2024a) findings that older UK travellers (54% of whom are Baby Boomers) are unlikely to prioritise sustainability during the booking phase. Both age categories showed a notable inclination to pay more for higher comfort and/or quality, though younger Baby Boomers are generally more willing to pay for comfortable travel experiences compared to the older group. The majority of responses were concentrated in the upper half of the willingness scale, aligning with the literature (Sie et al., 2016; Faithfull, 2023).

Perspectives on Responsible Tourism

It was observed in the literature that defining RT practices is not straightforward. Therefore, it's unsurprising that the respondents' interpretations varied as well. Most respondents associate RT with environmental responsibility (33.5%), socio-cultural responsibility (21%), responsible tourism management (14.3%), and awareness and education (14.3%). Less common associations included economic considerations (11.8%) and participant perspectives (5.1%).

R5's explanation of environmental responsibility shows a broad understanding of the principles: using local produce, supporting local industries, saving water, conserving energy, leaving a place as you found it, protecting local wildlife, reducing single-use plastics, and implementing effective recycling procedures. The multidimensionality of RT became evident, as most replies spanned multiple categories. For instance, sociocultural responsibility emphasises avoiding exploitation and balancing the needs of local communities with tourism.

While the economic dimension received less attention, respondents demonstrated an awareness of its significance. R102 emphasised *using locally sourced guides, companies, and products, contributing to the local economy by buying local produce and gifts*, and supporting travel companies committed to offsetting tourism impacts.

Overall, respondents demonstrated a good understanding of responsible practices, contrary to Mintel's (2024a) findings, which indicated that older British travellers have limited knowledge of RT. This divergence may be explained by the fact that Mintel's older traveller category only includes 54% of Baby Boomers and thus does not fully capture their views.

Older Baby Boomers expect environmentally friendly holidays from their TO/TA.

There is limited research on travellers' expectations regarding sustainability from their Tour Operators (TO) or Travel Agencies (TA). In this study, the majority of respondents (52.2%) expect their TO or TA to ensure their holiday is

environmentally friendly. 61.9% of older Baby Boomers expect eco-friendly practices. In contrast, younger Baby Boomers show a smaller gap, with 47.9% expecting it to be. This indicates a generational difference in expectations.

Most respondents (38.2%) were neutral about the importance of their TO or TA being responsible and sustainable when booking travel. However, 51.5% consider it important or very important, compared to 10.3% who deem it unimportant. This indicates a growing awareness of sustainability, even if it's not always a top-booking priority (Weeden, 2014; Li, 2023; Wu et al., 2023). The gap between neutral and positive responses suggests a need for clearer communication from travel providers about the tangible benefits of sustainable choices.

Responsible Tourism behaviour: actions, barriers and encouragement

Most respondents (86%) take actions to minimise their environmental impacts, while 11% do not. 36.1% of responses related to "respect for the environment," covering carbon offsetting, waste reduction, and energy and water conservation. Common actions included avoiding littering, recycling, avoiding plastic, and reusing materials.

Additionally, 6% of respondents followed local recycling rules, and 4.3% adhered to the principle of *taking nothing but footprints, photos, or memories* (R3). In contrast to the tendency to relax environmental standards while travelling (Selänniemi, 2000; Stončikaitė, 2022), 4.3% stated they maintain the same eco-friendly habits on holiday as at home. R44 commented, *just as environmentally conscious as at home*, challenging the notion that holidays are for disconnecting (Weeden, 2014).

Transport choices to and within destinations were another focus. Flying was a significant issue, with 15.3% of responses addressing this. 58.6% reported flying less, while others avoided flights entirely or took only short-haul trips (17.2% each). R98 noted a commitment to reduce air miles, and others preferred alternative options in the UK, such as trains or buses. For local travel, 30.2% of respondents opted for public transport, particularly trains, while walking was another popular choice.

Diverse interaction levels with locals

The most common response for engaging with communities was supporting local businesses (37%), then engaging with locals (direct interaction, communication, and learning a few words of the local language) at 36.7%. Participating in local activities accounted for 21.2% of respondents, while cultural immersion was noted by 3.7%. R5 encapsulated many opinions: *I'm very keen to experience different local customs and food. I always speak to local people and join in activities when possible. I visited many local businesses in India and participated in laughing yoga with locals in Vietnam.*

Supporting local economies

Most respondents (56.6%) consider supporting local businesses a high priority during their trips. When combined with those viewing it as a moderate priority (31.6%), 88.2% regard supporting local businesses as important. Only a small proportion (9.6%) consider it neutral, and an even smaller fraction (2.2%) view it as a low priority.

Older respondents are more likely to regard supporting local businesses as a high priority than younger respondents. This indicates that most respondents are inclined to contribute economically locally during their trips. While expressing such priorities does not always translate into concrete actions, it may reflect a growing awareness of responsible practices or signify an expression of personal values and a desire to foster meaningful connections with destinations, as noted by previous researchers.

Credibility and Trust

Of the respondents, 33.1% indicated that those who value the sustainability of their TOs and TAs face challenges when booking responsible holidays. Genuineness, credibility, and trust were the main challenges (23%), followed by a lack of information and transparency (19.7%), cost (16.4%), and transportation concerns (16.4%). When cross-tabulated with age, older respondents ranked genuineness, credibility, and trust as their top concern (28.6%), while younger respondents placed it second (20%), equal to transportation concerns. For younger respondents, the main challenge was a lack of information and transparency (22.5%). Local impact and ethical concerns ranked second for older Baby Boomers (19%) but were a concern for only 5% of younger respondents, just above health considerations.

R29 noted that *it can be difficult to identify sustainable aspects unless they are specifically highlighted in the holiday information*. This ties into a broader issue of transparency, particularly for younger Baby Boomers, who perceived advertising as prioritising client attraction over clear sustainability details. R52 observed that *the brochures, publicity, and adverts are developed to attract you, but they never mention the impact on the area or what is/can be done to mitigate this*. It is generally difficult to assess how much economic, environmental, and societal factors have been considered and measured.

These findings align with literature suggesting Baby Boomers often need more information during the booking process. The lack of knowledge among older sustainable travellers in the UK contributes to confusion and scepticism - reflected in the 66.9% of respondents potentially showing scepticism - two major barriers to RT bookings (Śniadek, 2006; Mintel, 2024b).

Barriers to Engagement

76.9% of respondents felt that specific factors could motivate more RTB, and the majority cited increased information and marketing as the most influential

factor (22.3%), followed by availability and accessibility of RT practices (20.4%) and cost concerns (18.5%). Respondents highlighted that reliable, accurate information on RT practices is often hard to find. Many emphasised the role of tour operators, airlines, and hotels in providing this information. The data suggests that this would be particularly impactful during the pre-travel phase and especially at the booking stage.

Many participants felt unclear about what RT entails, contrasting with previous findings on RT definitions. Respondents wanted clarity on how RT practices benefit society and the environment and requested evidence of these benefits, including an understanding of the negative impacts of irresponsible tourism (R69) and greater transparency from tourism businesses on their business practices (R49). Cost and affordability also play a significant role, with 18.5% of respondents stating that lower costs would encourage them to adopt more conscious travel habits. Cheaper, more accessible options are likely to attract more people to RT practices.

Luxury Travel

Respondents offered varied definitions of luxury, aligning with existing literature that notes how luxury is a subjective, dynamic concept shaped by individual social contexts (Conrady et al., 2020; Correia et al., 2020; Ribeiro Santos et al., 2023).

4.2% of respondents expressed negative views of luxury travel as unnecessarily expensive and a waste of money (R67). This perception, reflecting traditional ideas of luxury tied to economic excess rather than intangible experiences, was more common among older Baby Boomers (Conrady et al., 2020; Correia et al., 2020; Santos et al., 2023). Most associated luxury with high-quality accommodations (22.8%), high-end food experiences (13.5%), exceptional service (12.6%), and comfort (12.6%). Descriptions of 5-star experiences dominated, aligning with Baby Boomers' reputation as comfort-seekers prioritising quality (Faithfull, 2023).

The relationship between luxury travel and RT was also explored. 33.8% believe luxury can align with RT, 28.7% disagree, 13.2% are unsure, and 18.4% think it can align conditionally. Notably, younger Baby Boomers expressed a more positive view of luxury travel, suggesting shifting perceptions. Many agree that luxury is more about the mode of travel than the destination itself (Riley, 1995).

Interestingly, luxury accommodations were associated with environmentally friendly practices such as recycling, renewable energy use, and reduced waste, and respondents felt that luxury establishments could contribute to local communities by hiring and training staff. R116 observed that *extra costs should include improvements and investments in Responsible Tourism*.

However, the high price of luxury makes this more conscious form of travel inaccessible to many. Some respondents highlighted a disconnect between

luxury and RT, arguing that luxury fosters isolation from local communities and the environment, shifting the focus from the destination to affluent visitors. These respondents critiqued luxury travel's excessive consumption and environmental impact, stating that *any form of luxury usually involves greater levels of consumption* (R31). There was also a strong sense of traveller responsibility. R106 pointed out that *hotels should encourage and clearly explain the reasons for not changing towels daily*. This underscores the role of communication between luxury providers and guests in fostering a more sustainable travel experience.

Conclusion:

This study found that many Baby Boomers tend to travel frequently (2-3 times a year or more), with an increase in travel over the past decade driven by curiosity for new experiences. Participants showed a balanced preference for new and familiar destinations, with repeat visits fostering deeper connections and place attachment, potentially leading to positive behavioural changes (Cheng et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2018; Hu and Sung, 2022).

Personal values influence travel choices, and some older participants feel pressure to be more responsible, though logistical challenges hinder sustainable behaviours (Weeden, 2014; Higham et al., 2015; Juvan et al., 2017; Li, 2023; Wu et al., 2023). Many participants, especially older Baby Boomers, support local businesses and cultures but do not prioritise sustainability during booking. However, they expect eco-friendly practices from tour operators, revealing a gap between concerns and actions (Trang et al., 2019; Statista Consumer Insights Global, 2022). Distrust in sustainable travel options and a lack of transparent information are key barriers (Van der Merwe and Wocke, 2007; Mintel, 2024b). Improved information, accessibility, and affordability of RT could boost engagement.

The findings add to limited research on consumer behaviour in tourism by focusing specifically on British Baby Boomers and their relationship to RT (Horner and Swarbrooke, 2016; Gonda and Ratz, 2023). The research underscores the importance of examining this demographic independently rather than in comparison to other generations (Qiu et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2023) and addresses the lack of research on internal differences within the Baby Boomer cohort by distinguishing older (1946-1954) from younger (1955-1964). For instance, older Boomers may view luxury and RT as misaligned, whereas younger Boomers are more open to luxury's benefits, seeing it as a means of enhancing comfort and quality. These insights lay the groundwork for future research to refine age segmentations and deepen understanding of shifting travel behaviours.

Although the relationship between socio-demographic factors and travel motivations among Baby Boomers remains complex, the study suggests their desire to explore new places, alongside a tendency to revisit familiar destinations, offers valuable insights into existing models like the Push-Pull

model (Kim et al., 2003; Prayag and Ryan, 2011; Ahn and Janke, 2011; Otoo et al., 2020). It highlights how novelty and familiarity coexist, especially in later life stages, contrary to Patterson and Pegg's (2011) findings. The results also align with motivational factors within the ETRB framework, suggesting further investigation into Baby Boomers' perceptions of RT, environmental and social concerns, and destination involvement.

The research offers valuable insights that travel operators and agents can use in product development, marketing strategies, and sustainability communication. Understanding intergenerational differences and similarities enhances segmentation, enabling better-targeted approaches that reflect each subgroup's preferences and attitudes towards sustainability. Effective segmentation enables businesses to tailor products to the diverse needs of tourists, particularly Baby Boomers, who seek everything from independently planned soft-adventure trips to group package tours with luxury accommodations (Moschis and Ünal, 2008; Patterson and Pegg, 2009; Otoo et al., 2020).

Key recommendations include:

(1) **Alternative and informed transportation options**: Offering carbon-neutral options, transparent information on the environmental impacts, and promoting shorter flight destinations can address this concern. Baby Boomers often lack awareness of RT options, and sustainability is rarely a priority when booking holidays (Mintel, 2024a; 2024b). Travel companies must bridge this gap by providing clear, tangible examples of RT practices, such as carbon offsetting, alternative transportation, or tourism business support (Tolkes, 2020).

(2) **Meaningful local experiences**: Tour operators can offer RT options, such as locally guided tours or culinary experiences, which enhance place attachment and foster sustainable behaviours.

(3) **Responsible luxury options:** Promoting eco-friendly luxury accommodations and value-for-money experiences may appeal to this segment, while location and exclusivity remain important factors (CBI, 2024).

(4) **Convenient, sustainable options:** Travel companies should partner with local suppliers to secure better pricing and more authentic experiences. Given Baby Boomers' scepticism about sustainability claims, providing clear, transparent information about eco-friendly practices can build trust and influence decision-making (Nieto-García et al., 2024).

(5) **Developing Accreditation Schemes**: Implementing an accreditation process for eco-friendly travel companies could enhance credibility, especially for older Baby Boomers who expect transparency and accountability in sustainability practices.

Finally, there is a need for robust theoretical models that address the three-dimensionality of RTB among Baby Boomers (Kim et al., 2018). A potential avenue for future research could involve applying established models, such as

Salinero et al.'s (2022) model on Generation Z, to assess its relevance across different generations and/or identify Baby Boomer-specific influential factors.

Declaration of interest statement:

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

References:

Ahn, Y. J. and Janke, M. C. J. (2011). Motivations and benefits of the travel experiences of older adults. *Educational Gerontology*, 37 (8), pp. 653 –673. Available from: <https://doi.org/110.1080/03601271003716010>.

Al-Gharibah, O. B. and Mahfod, J. O. (2022). The influence of personality traits on tourists' intention to visit green hotel in Qatar: the role of attitude and perceived value. *GeoJournal of Tourism and Geosites*, 15 (45), pp. 1602-1609. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.30892/gtg.454spl09-980>.

Alén, E., Losada, N. and Dominguez, T. (2016). The Impact of Ageing on the Tourism Industry: An Approach to the Senior Tourist Profile. *Social Indicators Research*, 127, pp. 303–322. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-015-0966-x>.

Balderas-Cejudo, A. and Patterson, I. (2023). Volunteer tourism: The future of baby boomer tourists in a post pandemic world. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management*, 55, pp. 139-142. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhtm.2023.03.012>.

Baloch, Q. B., Shah, S. N., Maher, S., Irshad, M., Khan, A. U., Kiran, S. and Shah, S. S. (2022). Determinants of evolving responsible tourism behavior: Evidences from supply chain. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 8 (1), p. 1-18. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2022.2099565>.

Bell, K. (2013). Baby boomer. In: *Open Education Sociology Dictionary*. [Online]. Available from: <https://sociologydictionary.org/baby-boomer/>. [Accessed 3 October 2024]

Bennett, P. (1995). *Dictionary of Marketing terms.* Chicago, IL: American Marketing association.

Blackwell, R. D., D'Souza, C., Taghian, M., Miniard, P. W. and Engel, J. F. (2007). *Consumer behavior: An Asia Pacific approach* (Vol. XXV). South Melbourne: Nelson Australia Pty Limited.

Bramwell, B., Lane, B., McCabe, S., Mosedale, J. and Scarles, C. (2008). Research perspectives on responsible tourism. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 16 (3), pp. 253–257. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09669580802208201>.

Braun, V. and Clarke, V. (2022b). *Thematic analysis: a practical guide*. London: SAGE.

43, pp. 200–220. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-014-0381-x>.

Budeanu, A. (2007). Sustainable tourist behavior—A discussion of opportunities for change. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 31 (5), pp. 499 – 508. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1470-6431.2007.00606.x>.

Burrai, E., Buda, D. and Stanford, D. (2019). Rethinking the ideology of responsible tourism. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 27 (7), pp. 992-1007. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2019.1578365>.

Butler, R. (2015). Sustainable Tourism – The Undefinable and Unachievable Pursued by the Unrealistic. In: *Challenges in Tourism Research*, pp. 234–241. Bristol: Channel View Publications.

Calderon-Monge, E., Pastor-Sanz, I. and Sendra Garcia, J. (2020). Analysis of sustainable consumer behavior as a business opportunity. *Journal of Business Research*, 120, November 2020, pp. 74-81. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.07.039>.

Cape Town Declaration (2002). *Cape Town Conference on responsible tourism in destinations*. August 2002. [Online report]. Available from: <https://resource.capetown.gov.za/documentcentre/Documents/Agreements%20and%20contracts/Toruism_RT_2002_Cape_Town_Declaration.pdf>. [Accessed 19 June 2024].

Carr, N. (2002). The tourism-leisure behavioural continuum. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 29 (4), pp. 972–986. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-7383(02)00002-6>.

Carrigan, M., Szmigin, I. and Wright, J. (2004). Shopping for a better world? An interpretive study of the potential for ethical consumption within the older market. *The Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 21 (6), pp. 401-417. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1108/07363760410558672>.

Caruana, R., Glozer, S., Crane, A. and McCabe, S. (2014). Tourists' accounts of responsible tourism. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 46, pp. 115-129. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annals.2014.03.006>.

CBI (2024). *The European market potential for Baby Boomer tourism*. [Online content]. Available from: <https://www.cbi.eu/market-information/tourism/baby-boomer-tourism/market-potential>. [Accessed 29 September 2024].

Centre for Ageing Better (2021). *Boom and bust? The last baby boomers and their prospects for later life.* [Online report]. Available from: <https://ageing-better.org.uk/sites/default/files/2021-11/boom-and-bust-report-the-last-baby-boomers.pdf>.

Cheng, T.-M., Wu, H. C. and Huang, L.-M. (2013). The influence of place attachment on the relationship between destination attractiveness and environmentally responsible behavior for island tourism in Penghu, Taiwan. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism,* 21, pp. 1166–1187. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2012.750329>.

Clark, T., Foster, L., Sloan, L. and Bryman, A. (2021). *Bryman's social research methods*. 6th edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Cleaver, M., Green, B. C. and Muller, T. E. (2000). Using consumer behaviour research to understand the baby boomer tourist. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research*, 24 (2), May 2000, pp. 274-287. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1177/109634800002400210>.

Conrady, R., Ruetz, D. and Aeberhard, M. eds. (2020). *Luxury tourism: Market trends, changing paradigms and best practices.* Switzerland: Springer Nature.

Correia, A., Kozak, M. and Del Chiappa, G. (2020). Examining the meaning of luxury in tourism: a mixed-method approach. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 23 (8), pp. 952-970. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/13683500.2019.1574290>.

Crompton, J. (1979). Motivations for pleasure vacation. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 6 (4), pp. 408–424. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/0160-7383(79)90004-5>.

Deloitte (2020). *Shifting sands: The changing consumer landscape*. [Online report]. January 2020. Available from: <https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/uk/Documents/consumer-business/deloitte-uk-shifting-sands-changing-consumer-landscape.pdf>. [Accessed 15 July 2024].

Deloitte (2024). *Facing travel's future; A Future of Consumer Industry report*. [Online report]. Available from: <https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/us/Documents/consumer-business/future-of-travel-and-tourism-pov.pdf>. [Accessed 15 July 2024].

Dias, Á., Aldana, I., Pereira, L., Da Costa, R. L. and António, N. (2021). A measure of tourist responsibility. *Sustainability*, 13 (6), Article 3351. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13063351>.

Diallo, M. F., Diop-Sall, F., Leroux, E. and Valette-Florence, P. (2015). Responsible tourist behaviour: The role of social engagement. *Recherche et Applications En Marketing (English Edition)*, 30 (3), pp. 85-104. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1177/2051570715594134>.

Dillman, D. A., Smyth, J. D. and Christian, J. M. (2014). *Internet, Phone, Mail and Mixed Mode Surveys: The Tailored Design Method* (4th edn). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.

Dolnicar, S., Crouch, G. I., and Long, P. (2008). Environment-friendly Tourists: What Do We Really Know about Them?. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 16 (2), pp. 197–210. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.2167/jost738.0>.

Expedia Media Solutions (2017). *MULTI-GENERATIONAL TRAVEL TRENDS Connecting the Digital Dots: The Motivations and Mindset of European Travellers.* [Online presentation]. Available from: https://www.marketingfacts.nl/wp-

content/uploads/images/research/Research_MultiGen_Travel_Trends_European_Travellers.pdf>. [Accessed 26 September 2024].

Faithfull, M. (2023). *Travel Spend: Gen Z vs Millennials vs Gen X vs Baby Boomers.* [Online]. 1 September 2023. Available from: <https://www.hospitalityinvestor.com/strategy/travel-spend-gen-z-vs-millennials-vs-gen-x-vs-baby-boomers>. [Accessed 26 June 2024].

Fleming, J. H. (2015). *Baby Boomers Are Opening Their Wallets*. [Online]. Business Journal, 30 January 2015. Available from: <https://news.gallup.com/businessjournal/181367/baby-boomers-opening-wallets.aspx>. [Accessed 3 October 2024].

Fodness, D. (1994). Measuring Tourist Motivation. *Annals of Tourism Research,* 21(3), pp. 555–81. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/0160-7383(94)90120-1>.

Gao, J. Huang, Z. J. and Zhang, C. (2017). Tourists' perceptions of responsibility: an application of norm-activation theory. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 25 (2), pp. 276-291. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2016.1202954>.

Gilbert, D. C. (1991). An examination of the consumer decision process related to tourism. In Cooper, C (ed): *Progress in Tourism, Recreation and Hospitality Management*, Vol. 3. Belhaven Press, London.

Gillon, S. M. (2004). *Boomer nation: The largest and richest generation ever, and how it changed.* New York: Free Press.

Goodwin, H. (2016). *Responsible Tourism: Using Tourism for Sustainable Development.* 2nd ed. Oxford: Goodfellow Publishers Ltd.

Goodwin, H. and Francis, J. (2003). Ethical and responsible tourism: consumer trends in the UK. *Journal of Vacation Marketing*, 9, pp. 271– 285. Available from: <https://doi.org/0.1177/135676670300900306>.

Gonda, T. and Rátz, T. (2023). ATTITUDES AND ACTIONS IN RESPONSIBLE TOURISM – AN ANALYSIS OF GENERATIONAL DIFFERENCES. *GeoJournal of Tourism and Geosites*, 46 (1), pp. 234–242. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.30892/gtg.46126-102>.

Gough, B and Madill, A (2012) Subjectivity in psychological science: from problem to prospect. *Psychological Methods*, 17 (3), pp. 374 - 384. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0029313>.

Hall, C. M. (2014). You can check out any time you like but you can never leave: Can ethical consumption in tourism ever be sustainable. In: C. Weeden and K. Boluk (Eds.), *Managing ethical consumption in tourism* (pp. 32-56). New York, NY: Routledge.

Harper, S. (2006). *Ageing societies: Myths, challenges and opportunities*. London: Hodder Arnold.

Higham, J., Reis, A. C. and Cohen, S. A. (2015). Australian climate concern and the 'attitude-behaviour gap'. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 19 (4), pp. 338-354. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/13683500.2014.1002456>.

Horner, S. and Swarbrooke, J. (2016). *Consumer behaviour in tourism*. 3rd ed. London: Routledge.

Hosany, S., Buzova, D. and Sanz-Blas, S. (2019). The influence of place attachment, ad-evoked positive affect, and motivation on intention to visit: Imagination proclivity as a moderator. *Journal of Travel Research*, 59 (3), pp. 477–495. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287519830789>.

Hu, H.-H. and Sung, Y.-K. (2022). Critical influences on responsible tourism behavior and the mediating role of ambivalent emotions. *Sustainability*, 14 (2), Article 886. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14020886>.

ILOSTAT (2024). *Tracking the rebound in tourism employment.* [Online content]. Published by Marie-Claire Sodergren, September 27, 2024. Available from: <https://ilostat.ilo.org/blog/tracking-the-rebound-in-tourism-employment/#:~:text=Employment%20and%20decent%20work%20trends,1%20%2C%20according%20to%20ILO%20estimates>. [Accessed 27 September 2024].

Jang, S. S. and Wu, C.-M. E. (2006). Seniors' travel motivation and the influential factors: An examination of Taiwanese seniors. *Tourism Management,* 27 (2), pp. 306-316. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2004.11.006>.

Juvan, E., Omerzel, D. G. and Maravić, M. U. (2017). Tourist Behaviour: An Overview of Models to Date. [Online report]. Management International Conference, Monastier di Treviso (Venice), Italy, 24-27 May 2017. Available from: <https://www.hippocampus.si/ISBN/978-961-7023-71-8/2.pdf>.

Kenebayeva, A. S. (2020). CONSUMER BEHAVIOUR TOWARDS SUSTAINABLE BUSINESS PRACTICES: INSIGHTS FROM BABY BOOMERS AND X, Y, Z GENERATIONAL SEGMENTS. *The Journal of Economic Research & Business Administration,* 134 (4), pp. 44-52. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.26577/be.2020.v134.i4.04>.

Kieżel, M., Piotrowski, P. and Wiechoczek, J. (2019). The Research on Sustainable Tourism in the Light of Its Paradigms. *Sustainability* 2019, 11 (20), 5821, pp. 1-21. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3390/su1120582>.

Kim, S. S., Lee C. K. and Klenosky, D. B. (2003). The Influence of Push and Pull Factors at Korean National Parks. *Tourism Management*, 24 (2), pp. 169–180. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/S0261-5177(02)00059-6>.

Kim, H., Woo, E. and Uysal, M. (2015). Tourism experience and quality of life among elderly tourists. *Tourism Management*, 46, pp. 465–476. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2014.08.002>.

Kim, M. J., Park, J. Y., Reisinger, Y. and Lee, C-K. (2018). Predicting responsible tourist behavior: Exploring pro-social behavior and perceptions of responsible tourism. *International Journal of Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 32 (4), pp. 5-20. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.21298/IJTHR.2018.4.32.4.5>.

Kotler, P. and Keller, K. L. (2016). *Marketing management*. Fifteenth edition, Global edition. Boston: Pearson

Kotler, P., Armstrong, G. and Balasubramanian, S. (2024). *Principles of marketing. Nineteenth edition.* Global edition. Harlow, Essex, United Kingdom: Pearson.

Kubíková, L. and Rudý, S. (2024). Attitudes of Generation Z Towards Sustainable Behaviour in Tourism. *TEM Journal,* 13 (2), pp. 1116-1125. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.18421/TEM132-26>.

Lam, T. and Hsu, C. H. C. (2006). Predicting behavioral intention of choosing a travel destination. *Tourism Management*, 27 (4), pp. 589–599. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2005.02.003>.

Lee, H. Y., Bonn, M. A., Reid, E. L. and Kim, W. G. (2017). Differences in tourist ethical judgment and responsible tourism intention: An ethical scenario approach. *Tourism Management*, 60, pp. 298–307. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2016.12.003>.

Li, S. (2023). How do baby boomers travel differently from the silent generation?. *Transportation* (2023). Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11116-023-10410-3>.

Li, J. and Cao, B. (2022). Study on Tourism Consumer Behavior and Countermeasures Based on Big Data. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, vol. 2022, Article ID 6120511, pp. 1-12. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/6120511>.

Lipowski, M. (2017). The differences between generations in consumer behavior in the service sales channel. *Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Sklodowska*, Sectio H Oeconomia, LI (2), pp. 159-167. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.17951/h.2017.51.2.159>.

Ma, Q. (2023). *Unpacking Travel Insights from Baby Boomers*. [Online]. 24 May 2023. Available from: <https://quyma.substack.com/p/unpacking-travel-insights-from-baby>. [Accessed 26 June 2024].

Mathieson, A. and Wall, G, (1982). *Tourism Economic, Physical and Social Impacts*. Longman, Harlow.

Meiners, N. and Seeberger, B. (2010). Marketing to senior citizens: Challenges and opportunities. *The Journal of Social, Political, and Economic Studies*, 35 (3), pp. 293–328. Available from: <https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/document?repid=rep1&type=pdf&doi=b62d71409c1ba13ef123362b4fc0f45f74cbf221>. [Accessed 24 June 2024].

Mihalic, T. (2016). Sustainable-responsible tourism discourse - towards 'responsustable' tourism. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 111 (part b), pp. 461-470. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.12.062>.

Mihalic, T., Mohamadi, S., Abbasi, A. and Dávid, L. D. (2021). Mapping a sustainable and responsible tourism paradigm: A bibliometric and citation network analysis. *Sustainability*, 13 (2), Article 853. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13020853>.

Mintel (2024a). *Luxury Travel: UK, 2023*. [Online report]. Available from: <https://clients-mintel-com.leedsbeckett.idm.oclc.org/report/luxury-travel-uk-2023?fromSearch=%3Ffreetext%3Dluxury%2520travel%2520uk%26resultPosition%3D1>. [Accessed 26 June 2024].

Mintel (2024b). *Sustainability in Travel: UK, 2024.* [Online report]. Available from: <https://clients-mintel-com.leedsbeckett.idm.oclc.org/report/sustainability-in-travel-uk-2024?fromSearch=%3Ffreetext%3Dsustainability%2520uk%26resultPosition%3D2>. [Accessed 26 June 2024].

Mody, M., Day, J., Sydnor, S., Jaffe, W. and Lehto, X. (2014). The different shades of responsibility: Examining domestic and international travelers' motivations for responsible tourism in India. *Tourism Management Perspectives*, 12, pp. 113-124. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tmp.2014.09.008>.

Mondal, S. and Samaddar, K. (2021). Responsible tourism towards sustainable development: literature review and research agenda. *Asia Pacific Business Review*, 27 (2), pp. 229–266. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1080/13602381.2021.1857963>.

Moschis, G. P. and Ünal, B. (2008). Travel and leisure services preferences and patronage motives of older consumers. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, 24 (4), pp. 259–269. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10548400802156711>.

Moschis, G., Curasi, C. and Bellenger, D. (2004). Patronage motives of mature consumers in the selection of food and grocery stores. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 21 (2), pp. 123–133. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1108/07363760410525687>.

Muller, T. E. (1991). Using personal values to define segments in an international tourism market. *International Marketing Review*, 8 (1), pp. 57-70. Available from: < https://doi.org/10.1108/02651339110003952>.

Nieto-García, M., Acuti, D. and Viglia, G. (2024). Consumer hypocrisy and researcher myopia: A scrutiny of the intention-behaviour gap in sustainable tourism. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 104, January 2024, 103678. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annals.2023.103678>.

Nikolic, T., Pantic, S., Paunovic, I. and Filipovic, S. (2021). Sustainable Travel Decision-Making of Europeans: Insights from a Household Survey. *Sustainability* 2021, 13, 1960. Available from: < https://doi.org/10.3390/su13041960>.

Otoo, F. E. and Kim, S. S. (2020). Analysis of studies on the travel motivations of senior tourists from 1980 to 2017: progress and future directions. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 23 (4), pp. 393-417. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/13683500.2018.1540560>.

Otoo, F. E., Kim, S. S. and Choi, Y. (2020). Understanding senior tourists' preferences and characteristics based on their overseas travel motivation clusters. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, 37 (2), pp. 246-257. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10548408.2020.1740136>.

Patterson, I. (2018). *Tourism and leisure behaviour in an ageing world*. Oxfordshire: CABI.

Patterson, I. and Balderas, A. (2018). Continuing and Emerging Trends of Senior Tourism: A Review of the Literature. *Journal of Population Ageing,* 13 (3), pp. 385–399. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12062-018-9228-4>.

Patterson, I. and Balderas-Cejudo, A. (2022). Baby boomers and their growing interest in spa and wellness tourism. *International Journal of Spa and Wellness*, 5 (3), pp. 237-249. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/24721735.2022.2107801>.

Patterson, I. and Pegg, S. (2009). Marketing the Leisure Experience to Baby Boomers and Older Tourists. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing & Management*, 18 (2-3), pp. 254-272. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/19368620802594136>.

Patterson, I. and Pegg, S. (2011). Ageing travellers: Seeking an experience and not just a destination. In D. Buhalis and S. Darcy (Eds.). *Accessible tourism: Concepts and issues* (pp. 173–186). Bristol, UK: Channel View Publications.

Patterson, I., Sie, L., Balderas-Cejudo, A. and Rivera-Hernaez, O. (2017). Changing Trends in the Baby Boomer Travel Market: Importance of Memorable Experiences. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing & Management*, 26 (4), pp. 347-360. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/19368623.2017.1255162>.

Peter, J. and Olson, J. (2005). *Consumer Behavior and Marketing Strategy*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill. Seventh Edition.

Pinho, M. and Gomes, S. (2023). Generation Z as a critical question mark for sustainable tourism – An exploratory study in Portugal. *Journal of Tourism Futures*, pp. 1-18. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1108/JTF-07-2022-0171>.

Pitts, R. E. and Woodside, A. G. (1986). Personal values and travel decisions. *Journal of Travel Research*, 25 (1), pp. 20-25. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1177/004728758602500104>.

Prayag, G. and Ryan, C. (2011). Antecedents of tourists' loyalty to Mauritius: The role and influence of destination image, place attachment, personal involvement, and satisfaction. *Journal of Travel Research*, 51 (3), pp. 342–356. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287511410321>.

Prayag, G., Aquino, R. S., Hall, M. C., Cheng, N. C. and Fieger, P. (2022). Is Gen Z really that different? Environmental attitudes, travel behaviours and sustainability practices of international tourists to Canterbury, New Zealand. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, pp. 1–22. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2022.2131795>.

Prasongthan, S. (2023). Exploring Tourists' Responsible Behavior: Evidence from Thailand. *ABAC Journal*, 43 (3), 2023, July-September. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.59865/abacj.2023.28>.

Qiu, H., Fan, J. and Zhao, L. (2018). Development of the academic study of tourists' environmentally responsible behavior. *Tourism Tribune*, 33 (11), pp. 122–138. Available from: <https://www.cabidigitallibrary.org/doi/full/10.5555/20183387402>.

Qiu, H., Wang, X., Morrison, A. M., Kelly, C. and Wei., W (2022). From ownership to responsibility: extending the theory of planned behavior to predict tourist environmentally responsible behavioral intentions. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2022.2116643>.

Reece, W. (2004). Are senior leisure travelers different? *Journal of Travel Research*, 43, pp. 11–18. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287504265507>.

Reina Paz, M. D. and Rodríguez Vargas, J. C. (2023). Main theoretical consumer behavioural models. A review from 1935 to 2021. *Heliyon*, 9 (3), March 2023, e13895. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e13895>.

Responsible Travel (n.d.). *Our guide to Responsible Tourism*. [Online]. Available from: <https://www.responsibletravel.com/holidays/responsible-tourism/travel-guide>. [Accessed 30 September 2024].

Ribeiro Santos, V., Au-yong-oliveira, M., Sousa, M. J. and Costa, C (2023). Luxury tourism from the traditional perspective to the sustainable trigger: Redefining new luxury tourism. *Journal of Tourism & Development,* 44, pp. 113-136. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.34624/rtd.v44i0.30909e-ISSN 2182-1453>.

Riley, R. (1995). Prestige-worthy Tourist Behavior. *Annals of Tourism Research,* 22 (3), pp. 630-649. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/0160-7383(95)00009-U>.

Saarinen, J. (2021). Is being responsible sustainable in tourism? Connections and critical differences. *Sustainability*, 13 (2), 6599. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13126599>.

Salinero, Y., Prayag, G., Gómez-Rico, M. and Molina-Collado, A. (2022). Generation Z and pro-sustainable tourism behaviors: internal and external drivers. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, pp. 1–20. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2022.2134400>.

Sambri, C., and Pegan, G. (2007). *La domanda di turismo responsabile: risultati di una ricerca esplorativa in Italia.* [The demand for Responsible Tourism: findings from an explorative study in Italy]. In Marketing Trends, 1–22. Ecole Superieure de Commerce De Paris. Available from: <https://archives.marketing-trends-congress.com/2007/Materiali/Paper/It/Sambri_Pegan.pdf>. [Accessed 24 June 2024].

Santos, V., Au-Yong-Oliveira, M., Sousa, M. J. and Costa, C. (2023). Luxury Tourism from the traditional perspective to the sustainable trigger: Redefining the new luxury tourism. *Revista Turismo & Desenvolvimento*, 44, pp. 113-136. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.34624/rtd.v44i0.30909>

Santos, V., Ramos, P. and Almeida, N. (2014). Consumer behaviour in tourism: A content analysis of relationship between involvement and emotions. *Journal of Tourism Research*, 9, pp. 28–

64. Available from: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/264119151_CONSUMER_BEHAVIOUR_IN _TOURISM_A_CONTENT_ANALYSIS_OF_RELATIONSHIP_BETWEEN_INVOLV EMENT_AND_EMOTIONS>.

Santos, M. C., Veiga, C. and Águas, P. (2016). Tourism services: facing the challenge of new tourist profiles. *Worldwide Hospitality and Tourism Themes*, 8 (6), pp. 654-669. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1108/WHATT-09-2016-0048>.

Schmidt, W.-P. (2001). Strategies for environmentally sustainable products and services. *Corporate Environmental Strategy*, 8, pp. 118–125. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/S1066-7938(01)00093-8>

Schönherr, S. (2023). Tourism Actors' Responsible Behavior: A Systematic Literature Review. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research*, 48 (4), pp. 671-683. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1177/10963480231171330>.

Selänniemi, T. (2000). Tourism as a sensory transition: The impact of the process of travelling on the tourist experience. In M. Robinson, P. Long, N. Evans, R. Sharpley, & J. Swarbrooke (Eds.), *Motivations, behaviour and tourist types* (pp. 357–369). Sunderland: Centre for Travel & Tourism: Business Education Publishers.

Sethna, Z. (2023). *Consumer behaviour*. Fifth edition. Los Angeles: SAGE Publications.

Shoemaker, S. (2000). Segmenting the mature market: 10 years later. *Journal of Travel Research*, 39, 11–26. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1177/004728750003900103>.

Sie, L., Patterson, I. and Pegg, S. (2016). Towards an understanding of older adult educational tourism through the development of a three-phase integrated framework. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 19 (2), pp. 100–136. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1080/13683500.2015.1021303>.

Śniadek, J. (2006). Age of seniors–a challenge for tourism and leisure industry. *Studies in Physical Culture & Tourism*, 13, pp. 103–106. Available from: <https://www.wbc.poznan.pl/Content/61372/Sniadek_REV.pdf>.

Špindler, T. (2018). CHAPTER 10: THE INFLUENCE OF GENERATIONS AND THEIR VALUES ON TOURISM PRODUCT SELECTION – THEORETICAL OVERVIEW In: Gorenak, M. and Trdina, A. eds. *RESPONSIBLE hospitality: inclusive, active, green* (p. 165-192). Maribor: University of Maribor Press. Available from: <https://press.um.si/index.php/ump/catalog/book/381>. [Accessed 26 September 2024].

Stanford, D. (2008). 'Exceptional Visitors': Dimensions of Tourist Responsibility in the Context of New Zealand. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 16 (3), pp. 258-275. Available from: < https://doi.org/10.1080/09669580802154082>.

Statista (2021). *Population of the United Kingdom (UK) 2015 to 2025.* [Online report]. 6 January 2021. Available from: <https://www.statista.com/statistics/263754/total-population-of-the-united-kingdom/>. [Accessed 26 June 2024].

Statista (2024a). *Baby Boomer population UK 2022, by age and gender*. [Online report]. Published by Clark, D., 17 April 2024. Available from: <https://www.statista.com/statistics/1394832/uk-baby-boomer-population/#:~:text=As%20of%202022%2C%20there%20were,least%20common%20year%20of%20age>. [Accessed 26 June 2024].

Statista (2024b). *Social media usage in the United Kingdom (UK) - statistics & facts.* [Online]. Published by Stacy Jo Dixon, Jul 2, 2024. Available from: <https://www.statista.com/topics/3236/social-media-usage-in-the-uk/#topicOverview>. [Accessed 13 August 2024].

Statista Consumer Insights Global (2022). *GCS fact sheet on travel & tourism: Consumer Insights report.* [Online report]. Available from: <https://www.statista.com/study/113167/gcs-fact-sheet-on-travel-and-tourism/>. [Accessed 26 June 2024].

Statista Consumer Insights Global (2024a). *Consumers in the UK.* [Online report]. Available from: <https://www-statista-com.leedsbeckett.idm.oclc.org/study/108023/consumers-in-the-uk/>. [Accessed 27 September 2024].

Statista Consumer Insights Global (2024b). *Target audience: Frequent travelers in the UK.* [Online report]. Available from: <https://www.statista.com/study/118681/target-audience-frequent-travelers-in-the-united-kingdom/>. [Accessed 27 September 2024].

Statista Research Department (2024). *Global tourism industry - statistics & facts*. [Online content]. Published on Sept 6, 2024. Available from: <https://www.statista.com/topics/962/global-tourism/#topicOverview>. [Accessed 26 September 2024].

Stončikaitė, I. (2022). Baby-boomers hitting the road: the paradoxes of the senior leisure tourism. *Journal of Tourism and Cultural Change*, 20 (3), pp. 335-347. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/14766825.2021.1943419>.

Thimm, T. (2022). E-destination: The future of e-mobility in the Lake Constance region, Germany. *Journal of Tourism Futures*, 8 (1), pp. 24–36. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1108/JTF-10-2019-0116>.

Tiago, M. T. P. M. B, Couto, J. P. d. A., Tiago, F. G. B. and Dias Faria, S. M. C. (2016). Baby boomers turning grey: European profiles. *Tourism Management*, 54, pp. 13–22. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2015.10.017>.

Tolkes, C. (2020). The role of sustainability communication in the attitude–behaviour gap of sustainable tourism. *Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 20, pp. 117–128. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1467358418820085>.

Trang, H. L. T., Lee, J. and Han, H. (2019). How do green attributes elicit pro-environmental behaviors in guests? The case of green hotels in Vietnam. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, 36 (1), pp. 1-15. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10548408.2018.1486782>.

United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. (2015). *World population prospects.* New York: USA. Available from: <https://population.un.org/wpp/publications/files/key_findings_wpp_2015.pdf>. [Accessed 24 June 2024].

UNWTO. (2008). *Glossary of tourism terms*. [Online]. Available from: <https://www.unwto.org/glossary-tourism-terms>. [Accessed 27 September 2024].

UNWTO (n.d.). *Tips for a Responsible Traveller*. [Online content]. Available from: <https://www.unwto.org/responsible-tourist>. [Accessed 3 October 2024].

Van der Merwe, M. and Wocke. A. (2007). An Investigation into Responsible Tourism Practices in the South African Hotel Industry. *South Africa Journal of Business Management,* 38 (2), pp. 1–5. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajbm.v38i2.579>.

Viglia, G. and Acuti, D. (2023). How to overcome the intention-behavior gap in sustainable tourism: Tourism agenda 2030 perspective article. *Tourism Review*, 78 (10), pp. 321–325. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1108/TR-07-2022-0326>.

Wahab, S., Crampton, L. J. and Rothfield, L.M. (1976). *Tourism Marketing – A Destination Orientated Programme for the Marketing of International Tourism.* Tourism International Press, London.

Wang, K. C., Chen, J. S. and Chou, S. H. (2007). Senior tourists' purchasing decisions in group package tour. *Anatolia*, 18 (1), pp. 23–42. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/13032917.2007.9687034>.

Wang, G., Yao, Y., Ren, L., Zhang, S. and Zhu, M. (2023). Examining the role of generativity on tourists' environmentally responsible behavior: An inter-generational comparison. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management*, 57, pp. 303–314. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhtm.2023.10.008>.

Weeden, C. (2014). *Responsible Tourist Behaviour*. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203855256>.

Weeden, C. and Boluk, K. (Eds.) (2014). *Managing ethical consumption in tourism*. New York, NY: Routledge.

Wu, T. M., Lee, D. and Lee, S. W. (2023). Does Attitude or Intention Affect Behavior in Sustainable Tourism? A Review and Research Agenda. *Sustainability* 2023, 15, 14076. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151914076>.

Xu, S., Kim, H.J., Liang, M. and Ryu, K. (2018). Interrelationships between tourist involvement, tourist experience, and environmentally responsible behavior: A case study of Nansha Wetland Park, China. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, 35 (7), pp. 856–868. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10548408.2018.1439429>.

About the Authors

Dr Peter Robinson is Head of Subject for Events, Tourism and Hospitality Management at Leeds Beckett University. His expertise covers visitor attractions, transport operations, heritage and museums management, tourism landscapes, urban and rural regeneration and placemaking, business development and Cold War tourism. He is an award-winning author and has published both academic books and peer-reviewed journals, as well as books covering a range of transport, tourism and landscape histories. He is also a features writer for a number of publications and has appeared on several television and radio programmes discussing the travel and tourism industry. Peter has owned businesses in photography, travel, ICT, waste management and publishing, and has delivered consultancy work for organisations in a several industry sectors worldwide. In 2021, he was Awarded the Victor Middleton Prize for Education and Scholarship by the Association for Tourism in Higher Education (ATHE).

Peter is a Fellow of the Tourism Management Institute and the Yorkshire and Humber representative, a Fellow of the Tourism Society, a Fellow of the Institute of Travel and Tourism, and a Principal Fellow of the Higher Education Academy. He is Co-Chair of The Association for Tourism in Higher Education (ATHE), a Director of The Institute of Travel and Tourism and he represents ATHE on the Tourism Society Board. He is also Chair of The Tourism Society Consultants Network and is a member of the ABTA Tourism Skills Working Group. He is regularly invited to speak at conferences about employability and careers in the tourism and hospitality sectors and has advised DCMS, the Department for Education and Visit England. He is Chair of a charity co-delivering a multi-million-pound regeneration project for the historic house and country park at Elvaston in Derbyshire and is also the Education and Outreach Officer for The Airfield Research Group. He was formerly Vice-Chair of The Museum of Carpet.

Cristina Crevenna completed her MSc in Responsible Tourism Management with Distinction at Leeds Beckett University, UK, in November 2024. Supervised by Professor Peter Robinson, her research investigated Baby Boomers' engagement with Responsible Tourism, focusing on intragenerational differences in travel behaviours and their implications for tourism practitioners.

Cristina also holds a BA in Modern Foreign Languages and Literatures (2017) and an MA in Planning and Management of Tourism Systems (2019) from the Università degli Studi di Bergamo, Italy. Her academic achievements are complemented by hands-on experience in Malawi and Senegal, where she contributed to sustainable tourism development projects by fostering local community participation and supporting responsible planning initiatives. Her research interests include responsible tourism practices, travel behaviours and sustainable destination management, which she aims to advance further in her professional career.